

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/432,618	11/03/1999	FREDERICK J. ROEBER	99-401	1298
32127	7590 10/18/2005		EXAMINER	
VERIZON CORPORATE SERVICES GROUP INC.			KENDALL, CHUCK O	
C/O CHRISTIAN R. ANDERSEN 600 HIDDEN RIDGE DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MAILCODE HQEO3H14 IRVING, TX 75038			2192	
			DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Notice of Abandonment	09/432,618 Examiner	ROEBER ET AL. Art Unit			
	Laminer	Art office			
	Chuck O. Kendall	2192			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
This application is abandoned in view of:					
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office         <ul> <li>(a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Note of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply to the Office of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply to the Office of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of the period for reply (including a total extension of the period for reply (including a total extension of the period for reply (including a total extension of the period total extension of the period of t</li></ul></li></ol>	failing or Transmission dated				
(b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does	not constitute a proper reply under 3	7 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);				
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitution final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		empt at a proper reply, to the non-			
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.					
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8		the statutory period of three months			
(a)  The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was, which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.				
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) $\square$ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.				
<ol> <li>Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37).</li> </ol>	ired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the Notice of			
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received onafter the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailing or Trar	nsmission dated), which is			
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.					
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire interest, or all of			
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity under 37 CFR			
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair		se the period for seeking court review			
7. 🔀 The reason(s) below:					
Called Attorney's Office on 10/14/2005, and Spoke application was being Abandoned.	to the Attorney of record Edward	Gordon, and he confirmed the			
	TUAN DAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAM	INER			

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 10122005